

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 09/937,942 10/02/2001 Royce W. Johnson VAC.483 8824 **EXAMINER** 30159 09/20/2005 ATTN: LEGAL-MANUFACTURING STEPHENS, JACQUELINE F KINETIC CONCEPTS, INC. ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER P.O. BOX 659508 SAN ANTONIO, TX 78265-9508 3761

DATE MAILED: 09/20/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary	09/937,942	JOHNSON, ROYCE W.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Jacqueline F. Stephens	3761
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filled after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).		
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>06 September 2005 and 26 July 2005</u> .		
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL. 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is		
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.		
Disposition of Claims		
 4) Claim(s) 1,2,4,5 and 7 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-51 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 		
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 		
Attachment(s)		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 1/31/05, 3/17/05. S. Patent and Trademark Office	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 7/26/05 has been entered.

Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments filed 7/26/05 have been considered and are not found to be persuasive.

As to the rejection of claims 8 and 10, the argument is moot as the claims have been cancelled.

Applicant argues that the grafting of wound healing factors does not produce the same results as incorporating wound healing factors, such as taught in Gibbins. In the specification, page 3, lines 1-3; page 4, lines 1-3; and page 5, lines 8-10, applicant discloses "the foam pad 11 is predisposed through grafting or other techniques known to those of ordinary skill in the art". Applicant has not provided criticality for the grafting technique in the original disclosure. Gibbins teaches the treatment agent is incorporated into the matrix of the wound dressing device. Therefore, the agent is

Art Unit: 3761

bound by the fibrous substrate as compared to an unbound surface treatment. Although Gibbins does not specifically teach grafting, the general conditions of a treatment agent bound in the wound dressing device is diclosed.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains.
 Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1, 2, 4, 5, and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Argenta et at. WO 94120041 in view of Collyer et al. '5,973,221 and in further view of Gibbins '6,355,858.

Argenta et al. teach a method for wound treatment comprising reepithelializing a wound surface (p.7, lines 19-24) with a negative pressure system comprising of: a porous pad 610, a tube 611with first end in fluid communication with the pad and a second end connected to a vacuum 25, and a wound drape (612) (figure 1). Argenta et al., however, do not teach a porous pad predisposed with a wound healing factor. Premedicated dressings are well known in the art; it is obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have wound healing factors incorporated within the dressings because they come

in direct contact with the wound, and therefore, would promote faster healing of wounds. For example, Collyer et al. teaches a porous pad that can be impregnated with antiseptic and/or other medicament (cot. 3, lines 53-56). Therefore it would be obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art to substitute the porous pad of Argenta for the porous pad of Collyer et al. for more efficient wound healing.

Page 4

Both Argenta et al. and Collyer et at. do not teach that the wound healing factor comprises of basic fibroblast growth factor and an anti-microbial that is an antibiotic. Since it is well known in the art that wounds, especially burns, are a destruction of skin tissue, wound healing would occur much faster when skin tissue regrows. Basic fibroblast growth factor promotes the growth of the endothelial cells of the skin; thus, it would be effective at increasing growth rate of new skin. It is also well known in the art that anti-microbial such as an antibiotic inhibit infections of wounds. Gibbins teach incorporating basic fibroblast growth factor and an anti-microbial such as streptomycin (cot. 6, line 49- col.7, line 14) as one of many active ingredients that can be incorporated or onto a dressing. Gibbins does not specifically state the treatment is grafted onto the pad, however, Gibbins teaches the treatment agent is incorporated into the matrix of the wound dressing device. Therefore, the agent is bound by the fibrous substrate as compared to an unbound surface treatment. Therefore, the general conditions of a treatment agent bound in the wound dressing device is diclosed.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to incorporate the combined inventions of Argenta et at. and Collyer et al. with a porous pad that is predisposed with basic fibroblast growth factor and streptomycin to inhibit the growth of

harmful microbials and promote faster wound heating since Collyer et al. teaches a pad

Page 5

that can be incorporated with medicament.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Jacqueline F. Stephens whose telephone number is

(571) 272-4937. The examiner can normally be reached on Mondays-Fridays from

10am-5pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Tanya Zalukaeva can be reached on (571) 272-1115. The fax phone

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-

872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Examiner

Art Unit 3761

September 17, 2005